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#### Abstract

A condition of heat exchange between the layers having different thermalphysic properties in a two-layer cylindrical roll of a rolling mill is analyzed foe an ideal thermal contact. It can be realized with application of the condition of heat balance of one of the layers in the cylindrical area for a homogeneous equation of heat conductivity. Analyzed was a simplified target setting in the radial section with a supposition, regarding an averaged in radius temperature distribution in the outer layer. By applying the condition of the thermal balance and by integrating the homogeneous equation of heat conductivity in the two-layer area a condition of cohesion of an impedance type in case of an ideal thermal contact between the layers was constructed.
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## I. Introduction

Contemorary requirements for the quality of production of rolled stock demand improved reliability of equipmnet operatoin, especially durability of rolls [8,9]. It can be realized by means of application of two-layer rolls, their outer layer being made of wear-resistant materials and their innerv layer being made of heat-resistant materials. Durability of two-layer rolls can be increased by means of optimization of their thermal operatoin mode and control of the processes of heat exchange in roll's pass with the help of a mathematical simulatoin model and the systems of computer mathematics $[5,6]$. The heat from the surface of a two-layer roll with a cylindrical shape is passed to its axis by means of heat conductivity[1-3]. Normally two-layer rolls of a rolling mill possess different thermalphysics properties and there is a dense thermal contact between them. With a suppositoin of an existence of stationary heat exchange let us analyze the condition of thermal interaction at transitoin from one layer to another. Such thermalphysics model describes the process of rolling productoin in iron and steel industry and leads to a mathematical simulation model, based upon a homogeneous equation of heat conductivity, that has in the cylindrical coordinate system of the limited $\Omega$ area the following view:

$$
\lambda_{i} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)+\lambda_{i} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+\lambda_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}-c_{i} \rho_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=0
$$

Now let us analyze the equation of heat balance in an arbitrary point of the area of $\gamma \in \Omega$ (Fig. 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma}\left[\operatorname{div}(\lambda \operatorname{grad} u)-c \rho u_{t}\right] d s=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our case $\gamma$ area - is a section of the ring, located between the circles of $R_{2}$ i $R_{1}\left(R_{2}>R_{1}\right)$ radii and two radii that form angles $\varphi_{1}$ i $\varphi_{2}\left(\varphi_{2}>\varphi_{1}\right)$ with the start of the coordinates. Radius turn is performed from $\varphi_{1}$ angle to $\varphi_{2}$ angle (in a counterclockwise direction).


Figure 1. Area $\gamma$
Let us denote

$$
\begin{gather*}
u=\frac{u_{+}+u_{-}}{2}+\frac{u_{+}-u_{-}}{h} r=\bar{u}+\bar{u}^{\prime} r  \tag{2}\\
R_{2}=R+\frac{h}{2} ; \quad R_{1}=R-\frac{h}{2} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Having applied Ostrogradskiy's formula let us now rearrange the first additive under the integral sign (1) [7],

$$
\int_{\gamma} \operatorname{div}(\lambda \operatorname{grad} u) d s=\int_{\bar{\gamma}} \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d l
$$

where $\bar{\gamma}-\gamma$ area contour.
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Let us evaluate the integral along the boundary of $\gamma$ area.
$\int_{\bar{\gamma}} \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d l=\int_{\bar{\gamma}_{R_{1}}} \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d l+\int_{\bar{\gamma}_{R_{2}}} \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d l+\int_{\bar{\gamma}_{\varphi_{1}}} \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d l+\int_{\bar{\gamma}_{\varphi_{2}}} \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d l$
By passing to the polar coordinates $x=r \cos \varphi$, $y=r \sin \varphi$, at $\lambda=$ const we will get :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{div}(\lambda \operatorname{grad} u)=\lambda \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)+\lambda \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \varphi^{2}} \\
& \text { or } \int_{\gamma} d i v(\lambda \operatorname{grad} u) d s=\lambda \int_{\gamma}\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \varphi^{2}}\right] r d r d \varphi= \\
& =\left.\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial(-r)} r\right|_{r=R_{1}} d \varphi+\left.\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} r\right|_{r=R_{2}} d \varphi+ \\
& +\left.\lambda \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial(-\varphi)} \frac{1}{r}\right|_{\varphi=\varphi_{1}} d r+\left.\lambda \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \frac{1}{r}\right|_{\varphi=\varphi_{2}} d r= \\
& =-\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial u_{-}}{\partial r} R_{1} d \varphi+\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial u_{+}}{\partial r} R_{2} d \varphi- \\
& -\left.\lambda \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi}\right|_{\varphi=\varphi_{1}} d r+\left.\lambda \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi}\right|_{\varphi=\varphi_{2}} d r= \\
& =\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial u_{+}}{\partial r} R_{2} d \varphi-\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial u_{-}}{\partial r} R_{1} d \varphi+ \\
& +\int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{1}{r}\left(\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi}\right|_{\varphi=\varphi_{2}}-\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi}\right|_{\varphi=\varphi_{1}}\right) d r \\
& =\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial u_{+}}{\partial r} R_{2} d \varphi-\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial u_{-}}{\partial r} R_{1} d \varphi+\left.\lambda \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi}\right|_{\varphi_{1}} ^{\varphi_{2}} d r= \\
& =\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial u\left(R_{2}, \varphi\right)}{\partial r} R_{2} d \varphi-. \\
& -\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial u\left(R_{1}, \varphi\right)}{\partial r} R_{1} d \varphi+\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \int_{R_{1}}^{R} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} u(r, \varphi)}{\partial \varphi^{2}} d r d \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let us consider the third addition in the previous expression, using (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} u(r, \varphi)}{\partial \varphi^{2}} d r d \varphi=\int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}\left(\int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{1}{r} u(r, \varphi) d r\right) d \varphi= \\
= & \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{1}{r}\left(\bar{u}+\bar{u}^{\prime} r\right) d r d \varphi=\int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{r} \bar{u}+\bar{u}^{\prime}\right) d r d \varphi=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\left.\int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}\left(\bar{u} \ln r+\bar{u}^{\prime} r\right)\right|_{R_{1}} ^{R_{2}} d \varphi=\left.\int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}\left(\bar{u} \ln r+\bar{u}^{\prime} r\right)\right|_{R-\frac{h}{2}} ^{R+\frac{h}{2}} d \varphi= \\
=\int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}\left(\bar{u} \ln \frac{R+\frac{h}{2}}{R-\frac{h}{2}}+\bar{u}^{\prime} h\right) d \varphi= \\
\quad=r_{c p}^{2} \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \Delta_{\varphi}\left(u_{+}\left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{R+\frac{h}{2}}{R-\frac{h}{2}}+1\right)+u_{-}\left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{R+\frac{h}{2}}{R-\frac{h}{2}}-1\right)\right) d \varphi,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\frac{1}{r_{s}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}=\Delta_{\varphi}$.
Now, let us evaluate the second additive with (1) with regard to (2) and (3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\gamma} c \rho u_{t} d s & =\int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} c \rho u_{t} r d r d \varphi=c \rho \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}}\left(\dot{\bar{u}}+\dot{\bar{u}}^{\prime} r\right) r d r d \varphi= \\
c \rho \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{R_{1}} \int_{2}}(\dot{\bar{u}} r & \left.+\dot{\bar{u}}^{\prime} r^{2}\right) d r d \varphi=\left.c \rho \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}}\left(\dot{\bar{u}} \frac{r^{2}}{2}+\dot{\bar{u}}^{\prime} \frac{r^{3}}{3}\right)\right|_{R-\frac{h}{2}} ^{R+\frac{h}{2}} d \varphi= \\
& =c \rho \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}}\left\{\frac{\dot{\bar{u}}}{2}\left[\left(R+\frac{h}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(R-\frac{h}{2}\right)^{2}\right]+\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\dot{\bar{u}}^{\prime}}{3}\left[\left(R+\frac{h}{2}\right)^{3}-\left(R-\frac{h}{2}\right)^{3}\right]\right\} d \varphi= \\
= & c \rho \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}}\left(\frac{\dot{\bar{u}}}{2} \cdot 2 R h+\frac{\dot{\bar{u}}}{3}\left(3 R^{2} h+\frac{h^{3}}{4}\right)\right) d \varphi= \\
& =c \rho \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}}\left[\dot{\bar{u}} R h+\dot{\bar{u}}^{\prime}\left(R^{2} h+\frac{h^{3}}{12}\right)\right] d \varphi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, instead of (1) we get the equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{r=R_{2}} R_{2} d \varphi-\left.\lambda \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{r=R_{1}} R d \varphi+ \\
+\lambda r_{s}^{2} \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}} \Delta_{\varphi}\left[u_{+}\left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{R+\frac{h}{2}}{R-\frac{h}{2}}+1\right)+u_{-}\left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{R+\frac{h}{2}}{R-\frac{h}{2}}-1\right)\right] d \varphi- \\
c \rho \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi_{2}}\left[\dot{\bar{u}} R h+\dot{\bar{u}}^{\prime}\left(R^{2} h+\frac{h^{3}}{12}\right)\right] d \varphi=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

By applying the theorem regarding the average, we can get

$$
\left.\lambda\left(\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}\right) R_{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{R_{2}}-\left.\lambda\left(\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}\right) R_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{R_{1}}+
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\lambda r_{s}^{2}\left(\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}\right) \Delta_{\varphi}\left(\bar{u} \ln \frac{R+\frac{h}{2}}{R-\frac{h}{2}}+\bar{u}^{\prime} h\right)- \\
& -c \rho\left(\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}\right)\left[\dot{\vec{u}} R h+\dot{\vec{u}}^{\prime}\left(R^{2} h+\frac{h^{3}}{12}\right)\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Both sections of the last equation we will divide by $\left(\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}\right):$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.R_{2} \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{R_{2}}-\left.R_{1} \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{R_{1}}+\lambda \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \varphi^{2}}\left(\bar{u} \ln \frac{R+\frac{h}{2}}{R-\frac{h}{2}}+\bar{u}^{\prime} h\right)- \\
& -c \rho\left[\dot{\bar{u}} R h+\dot{\bar{u}}^{\prime}\left(R^{2} h+\frac{h^{3}}{12}\right)\right]=0  \tag{4}\\
& \left.\left(R+\frac{h}{2}\right) \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{R+\frac{h}{2}}-\left.\left(R-\frac{h}{2}\right) \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{R-\frac{h}{2}}+ \\
& +\lambda \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \varphi^{2}}\left(\bar{u} \ln \frac{R+\frac{h}{2}}{R-\frac{h}{2}}+\bar{u}^{\prime} h\right)-  \tag{5}\\
& c \rho\left[\dot{\bar{u}} R h+\dot{\bar{u}}^{\prime}\left(R^{2} h+\frac{h^{3}}{12}\right)\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$

The formulae (4) and (5) - are condition of cohesion of an impedance type for a cylinder.

Now, let us consider a simplified target setting. Let one part of the surface of a two-layer cylinder, rotating around its axis with constant velocity $\omega$ is heated by a constant heat flow the density of which is $\bar{w}, \omega t<\varphi<\varphi_{0}+\omega t$ while the other part radiates heat into the ambient space in accordance with the laws of Newton and S. Bolzman. For determination of temperature distribution $T=T(r, \varphi, z, t)$ in such cylinder on the assumption that temperature distribution of the outer layer does not depend upon its radius we can pass to the analysis of the averaged temperature field along the radius in the outer layer. Thus, we can reach the following boundary problem regarding adhesion in the area:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega \times t=\left\{(r, z, \varphi, t) \mid 0<r<R_{2}, 0<\varphi<2 \pi, 0<z<l, t>0\right\} \\
\lambda_{1,2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right)+\lambda_{1,2} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+\lambda_{1,2} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}}-c_{1,2} \rho_{1,2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=0  \tag{6}\\
T(r, \varphi, z, 0)=T_{0},  \tag{7}\\
T(r, \varphi, 0, t)=T_{0}, \quad T(r, \varphi, l, t)=T_{0},  \tag{8}\\
\lambda_{1} T_{r}\left(R_{1}+0, \varphi, z, t\right)=\lambda_{2} T_{r}\left(R_{2}-0, \varphi, z, t\right), \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{1} T\left(R_{1}+0, \varphi, z, t\right)=\lambda_{2} T\left(R_{2}-0, \varphi, z, t\right),  \tag{10}\\
\lambda_{2} \frac{\partial T\left(R_{2}, \varphi, z, t\right)}{\partial r}=\alpha\left(T_{c}-T\right)+\varepsilon \sigma\left(T_{c}^{4}-T^{4}\right),  \tag{11}\\
\omega t+\varphi_{0}<\varphi<\omega t+2 \pi \\
\lambda_{2} \frac{\partial T\left(R_{2}, \varphi, z, t\right)}{\partial r}=-\bar{w}, \omega t<\varphi<\omega t+\varphi_{0},  \tag{12}\\
\frac{\partial T(0, \varphi, z, t)}{\partial r}=0,  \tag{13}\\
T(r, \varphi+2 \pi, z, t)=T(r, \varphi, z, t), \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\bar{w}$-being the heat flow from the stripe to the rolls in the area of the contact of the stripe with rolls $R_{1}<R_{2}$. At such conditions of heat exchange we can assume in the mathematical simulation model that the temperature of the cylinder along its length remains constant, so the derivative along $z$ can be neglected, assuming that $\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}=0$. At that the problem (6)-(14) is simplified, the condition (8) disappears, while the equation (6) acquires in the area of $\Omega_{1} \times t=\left\{(r, \varphi, t) \mid 0<r<R_{2}, 0<\varphi<2 \pi, t>0\right\}$ the following view:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1,2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right)+\lambda_{1,2} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial \varphi^{2}}-c_{1,2} \rho_{1,2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For investigation of the temperature field of the inner cylinder it's enough to know the average temperature distribution in the outer one (See Fig. 1). So, for evaluation of the heat flow through the surface of the inner cylinder we cam multiply the equation and (15) by $r d r$ and integrate along the layer's thickness within from $R_{1}$ to $R_{2}$.

By applying the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\varphi, t)=\frac{2}{S} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} T(r, \varphi, t) r d r \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad S$ - is the area of the perimeter of the outer layer, we will get after rearranging


Figure. 2 Two-layer cylinder

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}}\left(\lambda_{2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right)+\lambda_{2} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial \varphi^{2}}-c_{2} \rho_{2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}\right) r d r=0 \\
\lambda_{2} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right) r d r+\lambda_{2} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial \varphi^{2}} r d r-c_{2} \rho_{2} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} r d r=0 \\
\left.\lambda_{2}\left(r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right)\right|_{R_{1}} ^{R_{2}}+\lambda_{2} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial \varphi^{2}} r d r-c_{2} \rho_{2} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} r d r=0 . \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Paying attention to the generalized theorem regarding the average value and taking into account (11)-(13), we may write down

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\lambda_{2} R_{2}\left(\left.\left(\alpha\left(T_{c}-T\right)+\varepsilon \sigma\left(T_{c}^{4}-T^{4}\right)\right)\right|_{\omega t+\varphi_{0}<\varphi<\omega t+2 \pi}\right)-\right. \\
\left.-\left.w\right|_{\omega t<\varphi<\omega t+\varphi_{0}}\right)- \\
\left.-\lambda_{2} R_{1} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{r_{s}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \operatorname{Tr} d r-c_{2} \rho_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \operatorname{Tr} d r=0
\end{gathered}
$$

By dividing both parts of the equation by $\lambda_{2} R_{1}$, we will receive that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial r}-\frac{S}{2 r_{s}^{2} R_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+\frac{S c_{2} \rho_{2}}{2 \lambda_{2} R_{1}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}= \\
=\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\bar{w}, \quad \omega t<\varphi<\omega t+\varphi_{0}, \\
\alpha\left(T_{c}-T\right)+\varepsilon \sigma\left(T_{c}^{4}-T^{4}\right), \omega t+\varphi_{0}<\varphi<\omega t+2 \pi
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\alpha_{1}=\frac{S}{2 r_{s}^{2} R_{1}}, \quad \alpha_{2}=\frac{S c_{2} \rho_{2}}{\lambda_{2} 2 R_{1}}, \quad g_{0}=-\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \bar{w}$,
$g_{1}(\alpha T)+g_{2}(\sigma T)=\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\left(\alpha\left(T_{c}-T\right)+\varepsilon \sigma\left(T_{c}^{4}-T^{4}\right)\right)$, then, after
our transformations we will have for the boundary of the inner and outer cylinders the condition of cohesion of an impedance type

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial r}-\alpha_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+\left.\alpha_{2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}\right|_{r=R_{2}}= \\
=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
g_{0}, & \omega t<\varphi<\omega t+\varphi_{0} \\
g_{1}(\alpha T)+g_{2}(\sigma T), & \omega t+\varphi_{0}<\varphi<\omega t+2 \pi .
\end{array}\right. \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}=$ const, $g_{0}, g_{1}(\alpha T), g_{2}(\sigma T)-$ are constant values or partial-monotonous functions.

The obtained boundary condition of cohesion of an impedance type (18) is but a particular case of a more complicated condition (5). Both conditions (18) and (5) seem to be suitable for applying for solving boundary problems for
multi-layer cylindrical areas, particularly for investigation of temperature distributions in multi-layer rolls of rolling mills and rolled crystallizers.

## II. Conclusions

Obtained in the work was the condition of thermal cohesion between the layers of a rolling mill rolls of cylindrical shape, possessing different thermalphysics properties of their layers at dense thermal contact of the layers. This condition was set up with application of the condition of the heat balance of the area that includes the boundary of separation of the layers. The objective of obtaining this condition was in the necessity of developing and investigating a mathematical model of heat distribution in the rolls when a metal stripe or sections are being rolled. The reason was a necessity of designing new advanced process equipment. On the basis of the equation of heat balance of an element of twolayer cylindrical area and the boundary condition of the fourth order a condition of an impedance type was developed, which has a tangential derivative along with the normal derivative. Such condition allows to investigate temperature distributions in multi-layer and complicated noncharacteristic areas.
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